PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION

(PCT Rule 61.2)

To:

Commissioner
US Department of Commerce
United States Patent and Trademark
Office PCT

Office, PCT

2011 South Clark Place Room

CP2/5C24

Arlington, VA 22202

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 11 July 2001 (11.07.01)	ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/US00/07729	Applicant's or agent's file reference 2760/2G035-W
International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
23 March 2000 (23.03.00)	29 September 1999 (29.09.99)
Applicant	
KAMMAN, Hakki, Riza	

1.	The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:
	X in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:
	20 April 2001 (20.04.01)
	in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:
	·
2.	The election X was
	was not
•	made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).
	,

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Nestor Santesso

Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35 Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION RE

4	REC'D	18	DEC	2001	
!	, ALEO		F	CT	

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's 61s Comme		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 2760/2G035-WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Not Prelimina	ification of Transmittal of International ry Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US00/07729	23 MARCH 2000	29 SEPTEMBER 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(7): G06F 17/60 and US Cl.: 705/	or national classification and IPC 26	
Applicant KAMMAN, HAKKI RIZA		
2. This REPORT consists of a This report is also accomplice amended and are the	transmitted to the applicant according total of sheets. panied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the deserbasis for this report and/or sheets contain on 607 of the Administrative Instructions	cription, claims and/or drawings which have
I X Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishment IV Lack of unity of it V X Reasoned statement citations and explain VI Certain documents of VII Certain defects in the statement of the	nt of report with regard to novelty, inver invention t under Article 35(2) with regard to novelt nations supporting such statement	ntive step or industrial applicability y, inventive step or industrial applicability;
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion	of this report
20 APRIL 2001	21 NOVEMBE	R 2001
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/U	JS Authorized officer	
Commissioner of Patents and Tradema Box PCT	•	1 . Q Mart
Washington, D.C. 20231	TARIQ HAFIZ	James R. Matthew
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (
Form PCT/IDEA/400/		

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

I. Basis of the report	101/0300/07/29
1 West and a state of the state	
1_23	
NONE	
	of
24.05	
	as originally filed
	ited with the demand
pages, filed with the letter of	
1-21	
[772,577	
I. With regard to the elements of the international application:* X The international application as originally filed X The description	Land Cart, the demand
description NONE	
Logari	
	Hed with the demand
the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preli-	
3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the int	ernational apolication the international
Constrained in the automation to a time to the	
	team;
The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence halong outernational application as filed has been furnished	does not go beyond the δ_{ij} elective in the
The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form a size	
4 X The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of	
X the description pages NONE	
[v]	
∤ }	
beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental beyona es-	11. 1
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they a	a tantanta di
**Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under ite	

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2)	with regard to n	ovelty, inventive s	step or industrial	applicability
	citations and explanations supporting si	uch statement	,,	rep or industrial	applicability,

1.	statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-21	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	1-51	NO NO
	Industrial Aug to 1994 2005		1.01	
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claim 1, and 3-9 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 53(3) as being obvious over "From2.com Forms Strategic Partnership With Subasta,com" (hereinafter From2.com) in view of US Pat. No. 5,063,506, Brockwell et al. (hereinafter Brockwell).

As to claim 1, From2.com discloses a method for facilitating international electronic commerce through a distributed computer network (i.e. enhances the subasta.com website ... enabling consumers to conduct one-stop shopping that includes shipping and logistics)(page 1, lines 19-26), comprising the steps of:

- a) obtaining purchase transaction details concerning an item to be purchased (i.e. it calculates all freight, insurance, duties ... associated with shipping a product overseas)(page 2, lines 1-8); and
- d) reporting the tariff due through the distributed computer network (page 1, lines 27-33).

From 2.com does not explicitly disclose

- b) selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details; and
- determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code.

However, Brockwell discloses selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details and determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code (see Fig 11, Fig. 12 and col. 6, lines 5-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details and determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code within the From2.com method in order to estimate costs of delivering goods (col. 2, lines 18-34).

As to claim 3, From2.com does not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 1, wherein the selecting step comprises using (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 10

V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (Continued): an artificial intelligent software routine.

However, the Examiner notes that it was well known in the computer arts to use artificial intelligence to emulate decision making or reasoning ability to form conclusions. Use of an example of an artificial intelligent routine, such as an expert system, is well known in application computer programs that make decisions or solve problems in a particular field by using knowledge and analytical rules defined by experts in that field. Expert systems emulate the decision making of experts in the subject area covered by the application program (e.g. investments, banking, medicine), providing a user interface that recommends or suggests solutions or conclusions based on certain facts and rules for users who might not be knowledgeable in the field of endeavor presented by the application program. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the selecting step comprises using an artificial intelligent software routine within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 4, From2.com and Brockwell do not explicitly disclose he method as in claim 1, including the additional steps of generating an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list from the purchase transaction details and providing same through the distributed computer network.

However, the Examiner notes that it was well known in the electronic shipping arts to generate an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list for goods that were shipped. An invoice, an airway bill and a packing list are standard documents that are commonly generated in preparation of shipping goods used as proof of shipment and as a guarantee of shipment of the goods in question. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the additional steps of generating an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list from the purchase transaction details and providing same through the distributed computer network within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 5, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 1, wherein the purchase transaction details the freight for shipping the item to the particular destination (i.e. calculates all costs associated with shipping a product overseas ... providing consumers with an international shipping and delivery solution)(page 1, lines 27-33 and page 2, lines 1-14). Although From2.com does not explicitly disclose transaction details include the cost of the item and an item description, the Examiner notes that it was well known in the electronic shopping arts to provide product cost and product descriptions. The use of such information provides a consumer with information concerning products offered for sale by the merchant and that the selected product is the product desired by the consumer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include transaction details include the cost of the item and an item description within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 6, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 1, wherein the method steps are performed by a programmed computer connected to the distributed computer network (i.e. GDC calculator is linked directly to the merchant's web site)(page 2, lines 2-8).

As to claim 7, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 6, wherein the method steps are performed in real-time (i.e. It calculates all freight, insurance, duties, customs, ..., and gives customers instantaneous, accurate delivery quotes ...)(page 2, lines 1-8).

As to claim 8, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 1, wherein reporting step includes providing the tariff due in the local currency (i.e. fees)(page 2, lines 2-8).

As to claim 9, From2.com does not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 8, wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency.

However, Brockwell discloses wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency (see Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency within the From2.com method in order to estimate costs of delivering goods (col. 2, lines 18-34).

Claim 2 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of "Electronic Customs tariffs, rules)(TradeRef tariff and trade information software)"(hereinafter TradeRef).

As to claim 2, From2.com and Brockwell do not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 1, wherein the selecting step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details.

However, TradeRef discloses wherein the selecting step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 11

destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details (i.e. there you find the word "salsa" and the classification number)(page 1, lines 31-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the selecting step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details within the From2.com in order to quickly determine the tariff classification and duty determination of a product to be shipped (page 1, line 43 - page 2, line 3).

Claims 10-21 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over From2.com in view of Brockwell and TradeRef.

As to claim 10, From2.com discloses a method for facilitating international electronic commerce through a distributed computer network (i.e. enhances the subasta.com website ... enabling consumers to conduct one-stop shopping that includes shipping and logistics)(page 1, lines 19-26), comprising the steps of:

- obtaining purchase transaction details concerning an item to be purchased (i.e. it calculates all freight, insurance, duties ... associated with shipping a product overseas)(page 2, lines 1-8);
- processing the purchase transaction details for shipping the item to a prescribed destination at a minimum applicable tariff rate (page 1, lines 27-32); and
- reporting the tariff due through the distributed computer network (page 1, lines 27-33). From2.com does not explicitly disclose
- processing the purchase transaction details to thereby select a harmonized code for shipping the item to a prescribed destination at a minimum applicable tariff rate.

However, TradeRef discloses processing the purchase transaction details to thereby select a harmonized code for shipping the item to a prescribed destination at a minimum applicable tariff rate (page 1, lines 31-35 and page 2, lines 13-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include processing the purchase transaction details to thereby select a harmonized code for shipping the item to a prescribed destination at a minimum applicable tariff rate within the From2.com in order to quickly determine the tariff classification and duty determination of a product to be shipped (page 1, line 43 - page 2, line 3).

From2.com does not explicitly disclose

determining a tariff due by applying the processed harmonized code.

However, Brockwell discloses determining a tariff due by applying the processed harmonized code(see Fig 11, Fig. 12 and col. 6, lines 5-25): It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include determining a tariff due by applying the processed harmonized code within the From2.com method in order to estimate costs of delivering goods (col. 2, lines 18-34).

As to claim 11, From2.com does not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 1, wherein the selecting step comprises using an artificial intelligent software routine.

However, the Examiner notes that it was well known in the computer arts to use artificial intelligence to emulate decision making or reasoning ability to form conclusions. Use of an example of an artificial intelligent routine, such as an expert system, is well known in application computer programs that make decisions or solve problems in a particular field by using knowledge and analytical rules defined by experts in that field. Expert systems emulate the decision making of experts in the subject area covered by the application program (e.g. investments, banking, medicine), providing a user interface that recommends or suggests solutions or conclusions based on certain facts and rules for users who might not be knowledgeable in the field of endeavor presented by the application program. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the selecting step comprises using an artificial intelligent software routine within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 12, From2.com and Brockwell do not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 10, wherein the processing step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details.

However, TradeRef discloses wherein the processing step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details (i.e. there you find the word "salsa" and the classification number)(page 1, lines 31-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the selecting step includes searching through a tariff schedule of the particular destination and identifying a harmonized code that best fits the purchase transaction details within the From2.com in order to quickly determine the tariff classification and duty determination of a product to be shipped (page 1, line 43 - page 2, line 3).

As to claim 13, From2.com does not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 10, including the additional steps of generating an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list from the purchase transaction details and providing same through the

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 12

distributed computer network.

However, the Examiner notes that it was well known in the electronic shipping arts to generate an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list for goods that were shipped. An invoice, an airway bill and a packing list are standard documents that were commonly generated in preparation of shipping goods used as proof of shipment and as a guarantee of shipment of the goods in question. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include the additional steps of generating an invoice, an airway bill and a packing list from the purchase transaction details and providing same through the distributed computer network within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 14, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 12, wherein the purchase transaction details include the freight for shipping the item to the particular (i.e. calculates all costs associated with shipping a product overseas ... providing consumers with an international shipping and delivery solution)(page 1, lines 27-33 and page 2, lines 1-14). Although From2.com does not explicitly disclose transaction details include the cost of the item and an item description, the Examiner takes official notice that it was well known in the electronic shopping arts to provide product cost and product descriptions. The use of such information provides a consumer with information concerning products offered for sale by the merchant and that the selected product is the product desired by the consumer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include transaction details include the cost of the item and an item description within the From2.com method for the motivation stated above.

As to claim 15, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 10, wherein the method steps are performed by a programmed computer connected to the distributed computer network (i.e. GDC calculator is linked directly to the merchant's web site)(page 2, lines 2-8).

As to claim 16, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 6, wherein the method steps are performed in real-time (i.e. It calculates all freight, insurance, duties, customs, ..., and gives customers instantaneous, accurate delivery quotes ...)(page 2, lines 1-8).

As to claim 17, From2.com discloses the method as in claim 10, wherein reporting step includes providing the tariff due in the local currency (i.e. fees)(page 2, lines 2-8.

As to claim 18, From2.com does not explicitly disclose the method as in claim 17, wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency.

However, Brockwell discloses wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency (see Fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include wherein the reporting step further includes providing the tariff due in United States currency within the From2.com method in order to estimate costs of delivering goods (col. 2, lines 18-34).

As to claim 19, From2.com discloses in an electronic commerce computer server connected to a distributed computer network, a method for facilitating international electronic commerce with a customer connected to the server through the distributed computer network (i.e. enhances the subasta.com website ... enabling consumers to conduct one-stop shopping that includes shipping and logistics)(page 1, lines 19-26), comprising the steps of:

- a) receiving at the server purchase transaction details concerning an item to be purchased, the item to be purchased having a cost to the customer (i.e. it calculates all freight, insurance, duties ... associated with shipping a product overseas)(page 2, lines 1-8);
- d) providing to the customer a total amount due for the item, the total amount including the tariff due and the cost to the customer (i.e. how much the process will cost them including tariffs and customs)(page 1, lines 27-33 and page 2, lines 1-8); and
- e) receiving at the server payment of the total amount due (i.e. enabling consumers to conduct one-stop shopping)(page 1, lines 22-26 and lines 31-33).

From2.com does not explicitly disclose

- b) selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details.
- c) automatically determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code.

However, Brockwell discloses selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details and determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code (see Fig 11, Fig. 12 and col. 6, lines 5-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include selecting a harmonized code for shipping the item to a particular destination by analyzing at least a portion of the purchase transaction details and determining a tariff due by applying the selected harmonized code within the From2.com method in order to estimate costs of delivering goods (col. 2, lines 18-34). Although Brockwell does not

International application No.

PCT/US00/07729

Su	DD	lem	en	tal	Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII

Sheet 13

disclose automatically determining the tariff, merely automating the step of determining the tariff produces the same result as

omputer can perform the steps faster without introducing human error into the method. It would have been obvious to one of rdinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to include automating the step of determining the tariff within the from 2.com method in order to speed up the process of obtaining a tariff for the motivation stated above.		
As to claims 20-21, th	he claims are similar in scope to claim 19 and lack an inventive step for the same reason.	
NEW CITATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ONS L et al) 05 November 1991, Fig. 11, Fig. 12, col. 2, lines 18-34 and col. 6, lines 5-25.	
BONNEY, JOSEPH. Electronic January, 1995. Vol. 37. No. 1.	Customs tariffs, rules. (TradeRef tariff and trade information software. American Shipper, page 44.	
	•	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Incernational application No. PCT/US00/07729

IPC(7) US CL	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER :G06F 17/60 :705/26 to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bo	th national classification and IPC	
	DS SEARCHED		
	ocumentation searched (classification system follow	ved by classification symbols)	
U.S. :	705/26, 27, 31; 709/201, 202, 203, 217, 218, 219		
Documentat	ion searched other than minimum documentation to t	he extent that such documents are included	in the fields searched
	lata base consulted during the international search (SPATfiles, Derwent, JPO, EPO), Dialog (financia		e, search terms used)
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5,721,832 A (WESTROPE at al) 3 62-67, col. 3, lines 20-30, lines 35-3 58, col. 5, lines 24-27, lines 46-52,	8, lines 55-61, col. 4, line 55-	1, 2, 4-10, and 12-21
A	US 5,335,169, A (CHONG) 02 Aug	ust 1994, see abstract.	1-21
A, P	US 5,987,429 A (MARITZEN et abstract.	al) 16 November 1999, see	1-21
A, P	US 6,003,008 A (POSTREL et al) 14	December 1999, see abstract.	1-21
		·	
X Furth	er documents are listed in the continuation of Box	C. See patent family annex.	
"A" doc	cial categories of cited documents: ument defining the general state of the art which is not considered se of particular relevance	*T* later document published after the inter date and not in conflict with the appli- the principle or theory underlying the	cation but cited to understand
L does	ier document published on or after the international filing date ument which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is d to establish the publication date of another citation or other	"X" document of particular relevance; the considered novel or cannot be considere when the document is taken alone	ed to involve an inventive step
O docu	special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention caunot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is		
P docu	ament published prior to the international filing date but later than priority date claimed	*&* document member of the same patent	family
	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international sear	rch report
04 JUNE 2		06 JUL 20	00
Name and ma Commissions Box PCT Washington,	ailing address of the ISA/US er of Patents and Trademarks D.C. 20231	Authorized officer EMANUEL TODE VOELTZ	00 Matthews
	. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703) 305-9714	į

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US00/07729

!		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
\	ANONYMOUS. FROM2.COM ALLIES ITSELF WITH SUBASTA (Subasta,com, an online auctioneer, formed an alliance with OM.From2.com, an e-commerce logistics company). South America Report. 01 August 1999. Vol. 4. No. 4. 1 page.	1-21
7	MUELLNER, Alexis. Software firm taking aim at FedEx, DHL, and UPS. (From2.com). South Florida Business Journal. 30 July 1999. Vol. 19. No. 50. page 6A(2).	1, 2, 4-10, and 12-21
A	ANONYMOUS. Dialog (File 20: World Reporter). No. 6158215. From2.com Forms Strategic Alliance With Subasta.com. PR NEWSWIRE. 12 July 1999. 2 pages.	1-21
,		
į		
	j	